

9/11 Notes

by Neil Hamley (updated Feb. 2020, www.spiritualityandsoul.com)

Most of the following information comes from the book *The Trigger: The Lie That Changed The World—Who Really Did It And Why?* published in 2019 by David Icke. I do not agree with all that David Icke has written in those books of his which I have read, however, his analysis of the events related to 9/11 I regard as first-class investigation.

Introduction

9/11 was the central event which launched George W. Bush's so-called 'war on terror'. Both 9/11 and the 'war on terror' have served as the excuse by governments around the world to enact legislation which has increasingly eroded our rights to privacy and our liberty, and which has increasingly centralised power in government while decreasing transparency. This continues with increasing force today. Thus, the events leading up to 9/11, 9/11 itself, and the events immediately following it, require our closest scrutiny. Is the so-called war on terror, which has increasingly become a war *of* terror on us, really justified by a terrorist attack on the World Trade Centers on 9/11? Let's see...

World Trade Center 7

- World Trade Center 7 (WTC 7) was *not* hit by a passenger jet.
- WTC 7 was 47 stories high but collapsed to the ground in seven seconds, that is, at *free fall* where there was *no* resistance to the fall, and where the fall was absolutely symmetrical and straight down onto the building's footprint/foundation. (See the videos on YouTube.) This collapse would have required all 87 columns of the building to have failed *simultaneously* and up and down their respective heights. *All of this can only occur through a controlled demolition.*
- The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) report which assessed why WTC 7 collapsed concluded that it was due to just *one* of the 87 columns giving way due to office fires. This report is completely bogus. First, office fires burn below 750 degrees Fahrenheit whereas steel melts at 2,800 degrees Fahrenheit—fires could *not* have harmed the column(s). Second, steel skyscrapers have redundancy built into them, so that if one or even several columns give way then the weight of the building is transferred to and upheld by the other columns. Third, any such collapse beginning from a single column would lead to a gradual, staggered and unsymmetrical collapse of the building (which did not occur).
- Not surprisingly, the computer modelling for the NIST report on WTC 7 has never been released. Why? Because 'it would endanger public safety.' How? No, the modelling, if released by NIST would condemn NIST's own conclusions.
- Also, not surprisingly, a four year study by the University of Alaska, completed in 2019, found that the NIST modelling was wrong, and that the WTC 7 was consistent with a controlled demolition.
- Prior to WTC 1, 2 and 7 *no* other steel skyscrapers have collapsed from fire.
- However, there is a great problem: the fires that were supposed to have brought down WTC 7 *had already been extinguished one hour before the collapse of the building!* This fact was revealed by Architects and Engineer for 9/11 Truth a body of some 2,700 architects, engineers, demolition and fire experts, etc. Collectively, they have concluded that being impacted by the passenger jets could not bring down WTC buildings, which were in fact demolished. The evidence collected and presented by this and other 9/11 Truth movements—in addition to Architects and Engineers for 9/11 Truth there exists Firefighters for 9/11 Truth; Scientists for 9/11 Truth; Lawyer's Committee for 9/11 Truth; Military Officers for 9/11 Truth; Families for 9/11 Truth—was seen as sufficient for opening a current grand jury investigation into the collapse of the World Trade Centers. A grand jury is an official legal process sanctioned by the US Attorney General. This grand jury was initiated by the Lawyers Committee for 9/11 Truth who presented the Attorney General with an overwhelming

abundance of evidence for the conclusion that all three buildings were brought down by controlled demolition. The grand jury is composed of 23 members of the public who will privately consider the evidence, have the power to subpoena witnesses and to imprison them if they do not testify.

- **Larry Silverstein** owned the lease to WTC 7. (The people or groups who or which more or less knew of 9/11 in advance and/or were involved in its execution and/or its cover-up I have put in bold.) He is on video stating that he told the fire department to ‘pull’ WTC 7, where ‘pull’ is slang for ‘demolish’. He stated: ‘I remember getting a call from the fire department commander... I said, you know, we have had such a terrible loss of life the smartest thing to do is pull it. And they made that decision—to pull—and we watched the building collapse.’ However, the fire department could *not* have set the charges for demolition: this would take *weeks* and the fire department was busy fighting the fire in WTC 7 which, as stated, had been extinguished. Thus, the explosives for demolition were set *prior* to 9/11.
- We also have on video Danny Jowenko, a demolition expert with some 30 years’ experience, being shown the collapse of WTC 7 *before* he knew what building it was and when it had collapsed. He was adamant it was a professional demolition. He was amazed when informed it was WTC 7.
- The BBC announced the collapse of WTC 7 twenty-six minutes *before* it actually occurred! We have the video of the BBC crossing to their correspondent in New York, Jane Stanley, saying that the BBC had received a report that WTC 7 had collapsed. Stanley ‘confirms’ this, but, alas, Building 7 can still be seen standing in the background—*it fell 26 minutes later*. CNN also announced the collapse of WTC 7 *before* it occurred. How did the BBC have any idea that WTC 7 was going to collapse? We must remember it was not hit by a jet and there was no history of skyscrapers collapsing from fires. Obviously, the BBC (and CNN) were following a *pre-planned script* for the day and got out of synchronisation with events. Later, the BBC said they had ‘lost’ this live footage of the cross to Jane Stanley. Sure.
- The 9/11 Commission, the official ‘investigation’ into 9/11, did not even mention WTC 7!

World Trade Centers 1 and 2

- These two 120 story buildings fell in 9 and 11 seconds respectively, straight down onto their respective foundations or footprints—this is only physically possible if all 287 columns, including 47 massive inner steel columns—in each building—gave way *simultaneously* and along their entire height. *All of this is only possible if the buildings were subject to controlled demolition.*
- The official explanation, provided by NIST and the 9/11 Commission, for the collapse of WTC 1 and 2 is that floor where the jet hit gave way due to weakened steel supports in turn due to fires, and then, the whole upper part of the building above this floor smashed or pancaked down onto the rest of the building below causing the rapid, symmetrical destruction of the building. However, for this to have occurred, it would still have required the *simultaneous* collapse of all the 287 columns in the building at the floor level where the jet struck. This would *not* have occurred; instead, a fire would have gradually damaged and weakened some columns (and not others) so that there would be a gradual, staggered and asymmetrical collapse of the building (which did not occur). Further still, even if the top part of the building did collapse or pancake down upon the rest, there would have been great resistance from the lower floors which would mean that the buildings could not have come down in free fall or near free fall at 9 and 11 seconds respectively.
- Further, contrary to the official story, the fire in the buildings would have only been about 750 degrees Fahrenheit even with the jet fuel burning (most of which would have burnt up almost immediately.) But, alas, steel does not melt until 2,800 degrees. So, fires could *not* have harmed the steel columns in any significant way and in turn brought down the buildings.
- The towers were made to withstand a hit by a passenger aircraft with 23,000 gallons of fuel. The jets that *supposedly* hit on the day only had 10,000 gallons.
- Video footage shows that WTC 1 and 2 did not simply fall but disintegrated and exploded. This included massive four-ton pieces of concrete and steel being ejected laterally at 60 mph and out to a distance of 200 metres. Some of these chunks can be seen to be exploding and disintegrating into dust in mid-air.

- Video footage also shows explosions occurring up to 40 floors *below* the collapsing upper part of the building. No less than 503 witnesses, including many fireman (around 100) and emergency workers, reported hearing multiple blasts—many described them as bomb blasts—*before* the collapse of all three buildings. Indeed, many people received bomb blast injuries and others were killed when they were nowhere near the fires in the buildings, including in the basements.
- The fire burned for nearly four months under the pile of steel and rubble of WTC 1 and 2 and, burned at around 1,400 degrees Fahrenheit. On the one hand, this temperature was too high for jet fuel or office fires, and on the other hand, it would be impossible for such fires to continue to burn when deprived of oxygen (under the rubble) and doused with water.
- In the rubble of the towers, the US Geological Society found products of *nuclear fission*—strontium, uranium, thorium, cadmium—in high concentrations and correlations where these could *only* be have been produced by an *atomic bomb*. Niels Harrikan, Professor of Nano-Chemistry University of Copenhagen, as part of an independent and international team, found 10 tons of nano-thermite particles in the debris of all three buildings. Nano-thermite is an explosive which can only come from the military or a military supplier. Clearly, the buildings, at least in part, were brought down by these two types of explosion. We know that since 9/11 first responders have being dying in astronomical numbers from various types of cancer.
- New York Mayor **Rudolf Giuliani** is on video saying that he was told that one of the World Trade Centers was going to collapse shortly before it did. Who told him? How did that person know? Why was no one else told including the fire fighters in the building? Would anyone have predicted such a collapse when no high rise steel-framed buildings had ever collapsed from fire?
- There was almost no passenger jet wreckage at all associated with WTC 1 and 2: even the almost indestructible black boxes were *said* to have disintegrated. And yet, a perfectly intact passport of the one of the supposed hijackers was found a couple of blocks away from the towers! This hijacker was, like all else, supposedly completely destroyed in the fire, but not the passport that he was carrying! What a ‘lucky’ break for the investigators into 9/11.
- Despite all the jet parts having serials numbers which were continuously logged for maintenance purposes, no pieces of jet wreckage from any of the four crashed jets of 9/11 has ever been checked and verified using serial numbers to conclusively identify these jets. Such a procedure would simply and certainly put to rest any conspiracy ‘theories’ that two passenger jets—American Airlines Flight 11 and United Airlines 175—did not hit WTC 1 and 2 but, instead, they were hit by *military drones*. In this regard, we have many eye witness accounts of the second jet hitting the WTC which say it was a grey military aircraft. There is also supporting photographic footage which quite clearly shows that the jet had large undercarriage structures inconsistent with a passenger jet.
- The jet which hit the South Tower, supposedly Flight 175 which was a Boeing 767, was travelling at 570 mph when it struck. However, the Boeing 767’s maximum ground speed is just 420 mph. Also, at such a speed it would have disintegrated before hitting the tower. Further still, at 500 mph plus such a jet becomes uncontrollable at ground level. It was not a normal Boeing 767 that hit the tower. (This information can be attained from Pilots for 9/11 Truth.)
- According to expert Bob Bowman, about 100 planes a year stray off course to be near the World Trade Centers but are always intercepted in 10 minutes by fighters. It was known that Flight 11 (from Boston Logan Airport) was hijacked at 8.20 am. It crashed into the North Tower at 8.46 am. Flight 175 was known to be hijacked at 8.42 and crashed into South Tower at 9.03 am. Thus the authorities had 26 minutes to intercept the first plane and 43 minutes to intercept the second, but they failed to do so. The world’s most sophisticated aviation and air security system, which worked perfectly and routinely a hundred times plus a year failed on 9/11.
- A number of calls from people on the hijacked planes were made to loved ones. The content of these calls formed the basis of much of the official version of the events of 9/11. A number were indisputably made on cell/mobile phones and yet it was, at that time (2001) technically *impossible* to make mobile phone calls from the jets at the heights they were flying. Other calls were supposed to have been made from aircraft phones. However, the jets in question either did not have aircraft phones or they were not in operation. Further still, two of the calls which were supposed to be from aircraft phones continued to be connected *after the planes had supposed to have crashed!* So, either

the calls did not come from the hijacked jets or, the jets were not in the locations they were supposed to be according to the official story.

- Even in the darkest times, there are positives. Seven or so weeks prior to 9/11 Larry Silverstein and his Australian friend and businessmen Frank Lowy took out leases on WTC 1 and 2 (Silverstein already had WTC 7). This was the first time these leases had been put into private hands. Luckily for Larry and Lowy they greatly increased the insurance in case of a terrorist attack. Further, they also had the option to rebuild the buildings in the event of their destruction. For a relatively small payment of \$124 million they received a \$4.56 billion insurance payout from 9/11. This payout was all the more fortunate in light of the fact that the WTC 1 and 2, at the time of purchasing the leases, had low occupancy rates, ageing interiors, and were full of asbestos. Indeed, Silverstein had even more luck with regard to 9/11. He worked each day in the WTC but on the morning of 9/11 just happened to have a dermatology appointment which saved his life. Two of his children which worked in the WTC were also running late that day. But the heroic fire fighters were on time...

The Pentagon

- The Pentagon, in Washington, was supposedly hit at 9.43 am by a third passenger jet, American Airlines Flight 77 from Dulles Airport, Washington.
- Although the Pentagon was supposedly hit by a jet there was *no jet* wreckage including no tail or wing parts or engines (virtually indestructible) except a few small bits of some type of jet or plane wreckage on the Pentagon front lawn. There were also no bodies, no jet fuel, and no luggage from the jet. Clearly, a passenger jet did not hit the Pentagon.
- The passenger jet that supposedly hit the Pentagon is supposed to have penetrated three rings of the building and, after having done so, miraculously *disintegrated* and/or melted so that there was no identifiable wreckage! (Strong enough to have penetrated three massive building rings and then so weak that it completely disintegrated!) In fact, the fragile carbon fibre nose of such a jet would have hardly have dented the *first* reinforced outer wall of the Pentagon. Despite the fact that the jet was said to have completely disintegrated or melted, and thus no wreckage, all of the bodies were supposed to have been identified. From what?
- If the passenger jet had hit the wall of the Pentagon at the height of 20 ft, as per the official story, then the jet's dimensions meant that its engines would have had to be gouging the ground, but the Pentagon lawn in front of the impact site was perfectly untouched.
- Also, according to the official story, Flight 77 was supposed to have hit five light poles on its way to Pentagon. If so, the wing would have been shorn off but, again, no wreckage.
- No eyewitness saw the plane hit the Pentagon.
- The plane which was 40-foot height and had a 125 foot wing span and yet only left a hole in the side of the Pentagon of about 16-20 feet in diameter!
- Only one very small piece of security camera footage, of about 10 frames, of the supposed jet hitting the building Pentagon was released. From this scant footage no aircraft or object can be identified. However, analysis of the footage does show that whatever hit the Pentagon did so *after* the explosion in the Pentagon. There were some 85 security cameras around the Pentagon yet no other footage has been released to the public to positively identify what hit the building and, in doing so, put to rest all the terrible conspiracy 'theories' that it was not Flight 77 that hit the Pentagon.
- As the passenger jet or, what is supposed to be a passenger jet, approached the Pentagon it did not hit it directly in its line of flight, nor did it hit the Pentagon from above and around the middle. Instead, the jet circled 270 degrees as it descended from 7,000 feet to come level with, and just above, the ground to hit the Pentagon in the side wall. Why would the hijackers undertake this difficult and indirect manoeuvre to hit the Pentagon? This manoeuvre was flawlessly executed, supposedly by the hijackers who had *only* trained on one-engined Cessna planes, who were spoken of by their instructors as very poor pilots, and where this complex manoeuvre could not even be conducted by experienced pilots when they tried to do so in flight simulators!

- Hani Hanjour, the hijacker who is supposed to have flown Flight 77 into the Pentagon, had, just three weeks before 9/11, been banned from renting a one-engined Cessna from a flight school in the US because he was such a poor pilot!
- It was mechanically *impossible* for a *normal* passenger jet to perform the descent from 7,000 feet in a 270 degree turn *without falling to pieces* because it would be subjected to a force 5 to 7 times that of gravity.
- We also have the very odd circumstance that Flight 77 flew directly away from Washington and the Pentagon for about 40 before doing a U-turn to come back to the Pentagon. Would any hijacker take such an indirect path?
- The part of the Pentagon that was hit was staffed by a team who were investigating a missing 2.3 trillion dollars from the Pentagon budget—many were killed. When was this 2.3 trillion loss revealed? The day before by Defence Secretary **Donald Rumsfeld**. Needless to say, the investigation was forgotten and ended on 9/11. **Dov Zakheim** was under-secretary and in charge of the Pentagon budget when the 2.3 trillion went missing. He had been appointed by President Bush and kept his job until 2004 by which time approximately 3 trillion had gone missing.
- When the organisation Pilots For 9/11 Truth was given the supposed black box recording for Flight 77 it showed that the Global Positioning System was operational but, alas, Flight 77 did not have a GPS! It also showed that the cockpit door *remained closed* throughout! Thus, even if this was the true black box recording it showed that there was never any cockpit entry by the hijackers.
- The area around the Pentagon was a 23 mile no-fly zone where an aircraft could not fly without permission. To enforce this no-fly zone there were anti-aircraft missiles and also F-16 military jets at the nearby Andrews Air Force Base. Neither the missiles nor jets were deployed on 9/11 to stop Flight 77. The jets only take 10 minutes to get from Andrews to the Pentagon. Flight 77 was known to be hijacked by 8.50 am and crashed into the Pentagon at 9.43am. Thus, the authorities had a staggering 53 minutes to respond but did *nothing*. Importantly, we know that all the main players regarding security on 9/11, namely, the Federal Aviation Authority (FAA), the National Military Command Centre (at the Pentagon), the White House, North American Aerospace Defence Command (NORAD), and the National Military Command Center at the Pentagon were linked by hotlines, and teleconferences, from just after the first attack on the WTC at 8.46 am if not shortly after 8.20 am when the first hijacking was suspected. To say that it was not known that Flight 77, or what passed for Flight 77, was heading toward the Pentagon is rubbish. Indeed, we know that it was known to the authorities *because Vice President Cheney was overseeing its flight into the Pentagon*.
- We have the testimony, on video, of the US Secretary of Transportation, Norman Mineta, that he was in the bunker of the White House with **Dick Cheney** as the plane approached. Here, Cheney was given continued updates of the aircraft's approach from 50 miles out. On one occasion, when the plane was 10 miles away, he was asked by a young man 'Do the orders still stand?' to which Cheney replied 'Of course the orders still stand.' Which order was this? In light of what happened, quite clearly it was *not* to shoot down the incoming aircraft. According to Mineta, Cheney was already in the bunker at 9.20 am when he arrived. Whatever hit the Pentagon did so at 9.43 am. Big Dick was monitoring the aircraft for quite some time.
- We have witnesses to bombs going off inside the Pentagon at 9.30am (we know because it stopped a watch), 9.34am, 9.43am, 9.48, and 10am—the official time of the jet impact was 9.38 am or 9.43 am (depending on the version). We also know the explosions began before 9.38 because staff were already evacuating at 9.30ish, an alert sounded at Andrew's Air Force base prior to 9.35 am, and fire fighters were already at the Pentagon fighting fires before 9.38 am. As military people in the Pentagon testified, they knew that bombs had gone off because they could smell *cordite* everywhere. Whatever hit the Pentagon was coordinated with these bombs.
- A fourth plane, United Airlines Flight 93, was also hijacked on 9/11. It was supposed to have crashed into a field near Shanksville, Pennsylvania. The plane was 155 feet long and 125 feet wide but only left a crater about 20 feet long and 15 feet wide! Further, at this site there was no identifiable plane wreckage, no bodies, no luggage, no smell of jet fuel, and no burnt ground outside the crater. And yet, 'luckily' for the investigators, another pristine passport of one of the hijackers was found, and also, a five-page document about conducting the hijacking, along with hijacker IDs! Smile.

The Supposed Hijackers and the Supposed Planes Could Not Have Done It

- There is no evidence to show that the supposed hijackers boarded the four passenger jets involved in 9/11 except for two short pieces of unclear footage of supposedly two of them coming through Dulles Airport, Washington, and two of them at Portland Airport, Maine. In the latter case the hijackers were supposedly first catching a flight from Portland Airport, Maine, to Boston Logan Airport, Washington, where they would then board the hijacked plane. (Taking a connecting flight on your way to hijack a plane—sure.) Of all the hundreds of security cameras at the three airports in question, both inside and outside the airports, this is all of the footage we are allowed to see. Why not an abundance of footage which *clearly* and *conclusively* shows the hijackers boarding the planes and puts to rest all the terrible conspiracy ‘theories’? The answer is obvious: no such footage exists.
- The piece of footage at Portland Airport had *two* different time records on it and was obviously doctored.
- We have no passenger stubs showing the hijackers boarded.
- The FBI released the identity of the hijackers two days after 9/11. Supposedly from the passenger lists. But, alas, when it was found out that several of the supposed hijackers were *alive* or had *already died* earlier than 9/11, they had to be taken off the list *and others were added*. Well, either the original ‘hijackers’ were on the passenger list or they were not. Not surprisingly, the official certified, passenger lists have never been released.
- The supposed hijackers only trained on one-engined Cessnas and could not possibly have flown the Boeing passenger jets. Further, even on Cessnas they were poor pilots. Of one an instructor said ‘I was surprised he could even drive a car.’ Of some others an instructor said ‘It was dumb and dumber. I mean they were clueless. It was clear they were not going to make it as pilots.’ Another failed to attain his licence.
- In another colossal stroke of ‘luck’ for the authorities investigating 9/11, the luggage of the lead hijacker, Mohammed Atta, did not make it onto the plane. Among other things it contained a full confession, a list of all 19 hijackers, a last will and testament including instructions on how to have his body treated and, importantly, a video on how to fly a wide-bodied jet liner! Is a hijacker going to carry his last will and testament onto the plane that will be destroyed, or instructions about the care of his corpse when it will have disintegrated in the crash? What a farce.
- How would the hijackers break down the locked cabin doors seeing they only had knives and box-cutters?
- Why is it that *none* of the pilots put in the hijack code when the hijackers were supposedly battering down the doors or seeking entry through suspicious means?

Aspects of the Execution of 9/11

- On the day of 9/11 an unprecedented number of war games or terrorist training exercises were occurring in US airspace which had been brought forward by their organiser, General **Ralph Eberhardt**, commander of North American Aerospace Command (NORAD), from their usual time in October and November. For the first time, the overall command of these exercises was given to a politician, Dick Cheney. ‘Coincidentally’, the exercises included the hijacking by terrorists of planes in airspace over New York at the *same time* as 9/11 attacks were unfolding. The war games were not cancelled during 9/11 by Eberhardt but kept rolling. Further, he should have grounded all commercial aircraft as his staff urged him to do so, but again he failed to do so. Naturally, all of this generated massive confusion on the day about what were real and what were simulated events and aircraft. In particular, radar screens of both civil and military aviation authorities were populated with simulated aircraft. Eberhardt also arranged to have Pentagon computer protection at the *lowest* level during these exercises.

- The known-to-be corrupt agency, Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), also just happened to be in New York for a training drill on 9/11 so, 'luckily', they could take over the emergency response on the ground on the day.

The Cover-up of 9/11 Including the Farical 9/11 Commission

- According to the official story, 19 hijackers prepared and executed 9/11 without the most sophisticated intelligence and military network the world has ever known having a sniff of what was going on, nor able to prevent one part of it with the possible exception of Flight 93. Sure.
- According to the official story, the main authorities protecting the US on 9/11, namely the Federal Aviation Authority (FAA), the National Military Command Centre (at the Pentagon), members of the Bush Administration, the Secret Service and the North American Aerospace Command (NORAD), did not know about the 9/11 hijacked jets *until* it was too late to take effective action. Likewise, many of the key people in these agencies said that they too were informed too late to take effective action. However, it is standard procedure for the FAA to have hotlines open to all relevant security agencies immediately in an emergency. This would have been just after 8.20 am when it was known that Flight 11 was probably hijacked.
- Let us look at some of the lies of some of the key players. Donald Rumsfeld was Secretary of Defence during 9/11. Rumsfeld said on television that he found out about Flight 11 some 15 minutes before Flight 77 hit the Pentagon. Rumsfeld was in the Pentagon at the time it was hit. Flight 77 struck the Pentagon at 9.43, thus he was being informed of Flight 11 at around 9.28am—that is some 40 minutes after Flight 11 struck the WTC. Unbelievable: for according to official procedure he should have been notified at just after 8.20 am when Flight 11 was a suspect hijack. It was later revealed by Richard Clarke, Bush's counter-terrorism officer, that both Rumsfeld and General **Richard Myers**, the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, were involved in a teleconference with him—from the White House—and many other key players such as the FAA, the National Military Command *from 9.10am*. This clearly contradicts Rumsfeld's concocted story that he did not know about the first jet crash, Flight 11, until around 9.28. What about Meyers? He stated that he knew nothing of the Pentagon crash until coming out of a meeting. He went into the meeting after hearing of the *first* crash. This means he one, took no action after the first crash, and two, he was not informed of the *second* crash into the WTC (which occurred prior to the Pentagon crash). Unbelievable. Again, according to the testimony of Richard Clarke, Bush's counter-terrorism expert, Meyers and others such as the FAA, the National Military Command and Rumsfeld, were involved in a teleconference with him from around 9.10 am. Thus, Meyers would have been well aware of events, including the second attack on the WTC long before the Pentagon crash at 9.43am. Like Rumsfeld, Meyers lied. We know that from the White House bunker Cheney, was overseeing Flight 77 or what passed for it as it went toward the Pentagon. What about President **George Bush**? Bush knew of the first crash before going into a school classroom: he was witnessed to have been informed by an aide, and also, according to the official version also told by Condoleezza Rice at around 9 am. He was informed of the second crash in the room. But then Bush continued to read to the children for seven minutes, before leaving the room, thereupon he said, he alerted the military! Good to have a man of action in a crisis.
- The 9/11 Commission was only set up by the Bush Administration 441 days after 9/11. Obviously, they were 'desperate' to get to the truth.
- Initially, overall supervision of the 9/11 Commission was assigned to Henry Kissinger, a man with a long history of criminality and corruption. But he had to step down due to fear of his contacts with Saudis being exposed and also, the general public backlash. The second choice was **Philip Zelikow**. He was a long-time associate of President Bush and Condoleezza Rice. He was also director of the Aspen Group including Rumsfeld, Cheney and **Paul Wolfowitz** (Deputy Secretary of Defence on 9/11). Thus, Zelikow was last man to expose corruption in the US government. Richard Clarke, Bush's counterterrorism expert said 'The fix is in...could anyone have a more obvious conflict of interest than Zelikow?' One of the 9/11 Commissioners, Max Cleland, resigned saying 'This is a scam. It's disgusting. America is being cheated.' The head of the 9/11 Commission Thomas Kean

stated ‘We think in many ways the commission was set up to fail.’ Zelikow not only wrote the final draft of the 9/11 Commission Report but, unbelievably, he also wrote a detailed outline of the Report, including sub-sub-headings, *before* the Commission got underway! Much of this Report was based on evidence attained from torture of *alleged* suspects including water boarding and threats to family of suspects.

- Unsurprisingly, with such an ‘auspicious’ beginning, the 9/11 Commission Report was riddled with omissions and distortions. Here a few ‘highlights’: Norman Mineta’s testimony of Cheney overseeing the aircraft into the Pentagon was ignored; there was no mention of the collapse of WTC 7; the report ignored the fact that some of hijackers on the initial FBI list were later found to be alive; it adduced no proof that the hijackers boarded the planes; it claimed that Hani Hanjour was both an expert pilot and a terrible pilot; it didn’t mention the fact that the official explanation of why WTC 1 and 2 collapsed was incompatible with the fact they fell at near freefall; there was no mention made that the temperature of the fires in WTC 1 and 2 were far too low to cause any damage to the steel structure; there was no mention of the 16-20 foot hole in the Pentagon supposedly made by a 40 foot high 125 foot wide jet; the reports did not request and did not mention the footage from the 85 security cameras around the Pentagon to verify what hit it; and there was no mention why the missile systems to protect the Pentagon were not used. So, the 9/11 Commission Report was completely corrupted by Zelikow.
- Bush and Cheney would not consent to being investigated separately and under oath about 9/11, but only together, privately, not under oath and not recorded! Nothing to hide then?
- **Michael Chertoff** was the head of the Criminal Division in the Justice Department at the time of 9/11. He was in *overall* charge of the investigation into 9/11 and this included overseeing the FBI investigation headed by the newly appointed director **Robert Mueller**. Chertoff was obviously a major player in the cover up of 9/11. The steel wreckage from the three World Trade Towers, crucial evidence, was *immediately* taken away, cut up and smelted down in *Asian* countries. (Why not in the US?) Chertoff, along with Mueller as head of the FBI, oversaw this removal. When asked by the investigative reporter Christopher Bollyn who ordered the removal of the steel Chertoff said (lied) that he did not know. Mayor Rudolph Giuliani, **Richard Sheirer** who was Commissioner at the New York Office of Giuliani’s Emergency Management, and **Pasquale Amuro**, the FBI’s man on the ground, all formed part of the chain of command to quickly dispose of the steel.
- Another investigation into 9/11 by FEMA (Federal Emergency Management Agency) was described in *Fire-Engineering*, the publication of the fire-fighting industry, as ‘a half-baked farce’.
- None of the four plane crashes of 9/11 were investigated by the National Transport Safety Board which is the premier investigative body for aircraft crashes. Instead this was done by FBI.
- Many families injured during 9/11 were intent on pursuing civil liability in the courts. The revelations which would have occurred in these cases would have been devastating for the official story. Not surprisingly, the US government attempted to buy their silence with compensation agreements. When this did not work with all the families, judge **Alven Hellerstein** wore down the rest with delays and costs so that none went to court. The Victim Compensation Fund was overseen by Kenneth Feinberg.

Why Was 9/11 Executed?

- The Patriot Act was as a response to 9/11. On the false pretext of the need for increased national security against terrorism this act greatly reduced the freedoms or civil liberties of American citizens and greatly increased the government’s powers of surveillance, arrest and detention of suspected terrorists. It was clearly in contravention of the 4th and 5th amendments to the Constitution. The Patriot Act was a massive piece of legislation, and yet it was passed only 20 days after 9/11. This means that it has *already* been substantially if not fully drafted before 9/11. It was waiting and ready to go in the wake of a justifying event. Of no great surprise I suppose, one of the major players in charge of the 9/11 cover-up, Michael Chertoff, was the main author of the Patriot Act. He would also later head of the massive Homeland Security organisation which would enforce many aspects of the Act.

- The invasion of Afghanistan occurred only one month after 9/11. Such an operation would have taken great preparation, including much of it *prior* to 9/11. 9/11 was only the pretext for its occurrence. Afghanistan was the first of a series of countries invaded in the so-called war on terror. The invasion of these countries had long been planned, and 9/11 was, again, only the pretext, the manufactured excuse for its actualisation.
- The US plan to conquer the Middle East had been long-standing. In September 2000 the **Project for the New American Century (PNAC)**, an American think tank, produced a policy document called *Rebuilding America's Defences: Strategies, Forces and Resources for a New Century*. The document called for American forces to simultaneously fight and decisively win in multiple theatres of war, and it named a series of countries for *regime change*: Iraq, Syria, Lebanon, Iran, North Korea and China. It stated that this was unlikely to occur without a major catalysing event.
- The PNAC position and paper strongly reflected the views of the **Council of Foreign Relations**; **Cheney's Defence Planning Group** (formed 1990); **JINSA** or the **Jewish Institute for National Security Affairs**; and the **Study Group on A New Israeli Strategy Toward 2000** as expressed in their paper *A Clean Break: A New Strategy for Securing the Realm*. These groups were greatly cross-populated with the same members and included Cheney, Rumsfeld, Wolfowitz, Robert Kagan, William Kristol, Richard Perle, John Bolton, Richard Armitage, Lewis Libby, Dov Zakheim, Douglas Feith, and James Woolsey. A number of these people would soon become major players in the Bush Administration at the time of 9/11 including: Dick Cheney (vice president), Donald Rumsfeld (defence secretary), Paul Wolfowitz (deputy defence secretary), Richard Armitage (deputy secretary of state), Richard Perle (Pentagon policy advisor), Lewis Libby (chief of staff to Cheney). Likewise, Paul Zelikow, in overall charge of the 9/11 Commission, wrote the National Security Strategy document of September 2002 which set out the policy of attacking Middle East countries with *pre-emptive* strikes.
- **This paper has identified a number of the key players, and now groups, involved in the planning, execution and cover up of 9/11. The in principle simple, but practically somewhat difficult task of finding out the *fundamental* players involved in the execution of 9/11 is to find out to whom and to what organisations these people and groups *ultimately* answered, were controlled by and served.**
- The perspectives and plans of these strongly linked groups are extremely consistent and to a large extent borrow from each other. In essence, the plan was, and is, to progressively take control of Eurasia, including Lebanon, Syria, Iraq, Iran, Libya, North Korea, Iran, Sudan, Somalia, China (the 'democratization of China') and Russia, by regime change in one way or another. Cuba and Venezuela are also on the hit list.
- General Wesley Clark was former head of NATO in Europe, and a retired four star general, when he was interviewed on the television show *Democracy Now*. In this interview—available on the internet—he relates that about *ten days* after the bombing of the Twin Towers in New York he was at the Pentagon. Here, he was pulled aside by another general, and given a memo, which showed that the Bush Administration had *already* planned to invade Iraq. (Iraq was invaded in 2003.) A few weeks later Wesley Clark was informed by the same general that the US was not only going to invade Iraq but also over the next five years they were going to "take out" Lebanon, Syria, Libya, Somalia, Sudan and finish off with Iran. It was 9/11 that made this pre-planned sequence of invasions possible.
- *The Bushes were not enemies of the Bin Ladens, in fact, they were long term business associates.* On 9/11 all flights, including military, were cancelled, and yet, 11 members of the Bin Laden family were allowed to fly out from Boston Logan Airport! But what were the Bin Ladens doing in the US? Well we know about some of them. As reported in the *mainstream* media, on the morning of 9/11 Bush Senior was meeting with Osama Bin Laden's brother, Shafiq Bin Laden, and other members of the Bin Laden family at the Ritz-Carlton Hotel in Washington. Why? The Bush family had had a long business association with the Bin Ladens since the 1980s via the Carlyle Group, a financial firm managing assets of the Bin Laden family who owned a huge construction industry business in Saudi Arabia. Also, Salem Bin Laden was a major investor in President Bush's first energy company Arbusto.

- But the people involved, especially the Americans, would not hurt their own citizens, right? Operation Northwoods was planned in 1962 and approved by all the US Joint Chiefs of Staff and their Chairman. However, it was rejected by President Kennedy. Basically, unbelievably, it was for the US to execute a number of terror attacks on US soil and citizens and then blame it on Castro as a pretext to invade Cuba. Part of the proposed operation was the supposed hijacking of aircraft and replacement by drones which would then be shot down and blamed on Cuba. The *official* documentation exists to prove the existence of this proposed operation and is available, still, on the internet.
